

Scientific Research

Summary of Research Studies



Argon K3Pro™ Dental Implants



An independent study was completed by the DAP, the German Accreditation System for testing according to DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025:2008.

Using a Leitz AMR 1600T Scan Electronic Microscope (SEM) with a voltage of 20 keV, the IGMHS (Examination Laboratory Accredited by the DAP) completed a micro-analysis study of a factory sealed K3Pro" implant. The chemical composition of the implant surface was analyzed using a Bruker X-Flash-EDX detector which was equipped with a light-element window that allows the detection of elements within an ordinal >=5 (boron). The spectra recording was done in field mode (300s Mz, 3kcps rate of incoming impulses). The pictures were documented using a secondary electron detector.


The implant was examined in three different areas to include:

- Upper area of the angular shoulder
- Middle of the implant on a screw mountain
- Middle of the implant in a screw valley



RESULTS


The results of the EDX micro-analysis testing showed that within the ranges of study, no contaminations were found.



1- BEM Implant Study (2014-2015) Quantitative and Qualitative Element-Analysis of Implant-Surfaces by SEM/EDX, Dr. Dirk U. Duddeck, Department of Oral Surgery and Implantology, University of Cologne, Germany. To view the comprehensive study, visit www.argondentalusa.com. The University of Frankfurt and Main conducted a test analyzing the micro-movement and micro-pump effect for the K3Pro^{••} implant and abutment. The analysis consisted of simulating implant support molar crowns in the upper jaw, where the abutments were fixed to the implant following the manufacturers requirements. Polyether impression material was then used to duplicate a patient's mucous membrane and placed at the implant-abutment-interface. An opening was created inside the simulated membrane, where x-ray contrast medium was inserted. An x-ray device constantly radiated the sample while the two-dimensional chewing simulator was testing the sample under loads of 25N, 50N, 75N, 100N, 125N, 150N, 175N, and 200N, reporting the results using the following formula: $F(t) = i(t) \times I \times B$.

Values:

- F(t) = time-independent Force (Lorenz Force)
- I (t) temporarily changeable river [A]
- I = effective leader length [mm] B = magnetic flow density [C]
- X-ray videos recorded the transformation of the x-ray into visible light.



RESULTS


This process was repeated five times, testing the implant under various loads. The results of the in vitro study show that between the tested implant and abutment, no micro-gap and micro-pump effect exists.

Micro-gap Konus K3Pro*								
Load	Inspection	Inspection	Inspection	Inspection	Inspection			
	piece 1	piece 2	piece 3	piece 4	piece 5			
25N	no space							
	550	560	555	550	545			
50N	no space							
	760	765	760	770	760			
75N	no space							
	995	995	940	960	950			
100N	no space							
	1050	1060	1055	1060	1060			
125N	no space							
	1190	1185	1195	1185	1180			
150N	no space							
	1385	1380	1390	1380	1380			
175N	no space							
	1590	1580	1595	1590	1590			
200N	no space							
	1745	1745	1780	1760	1765			

Micro-pump effect Konus K3Pro*								
Load	Inspection piece 1	Inspection piece 2	Inspection piece 3	Inspection piece 4	Inspection piece 5			
25N	no micro-pump-effect							
	545	565	fect 550	555	560			
50N	no micro-pump-effect							
	770	755	760	750	750			
75N	no micro-pump-effect							
	965	945	960	955	950			
100N	no micro-pump-effect							
	1060	1065	1050	1045	1055			
125N	no micro-pump-effect							
	1180	1195	1180	1185	1190			
150N	no micro-pump-effect							
	1385	1375	1385	1390	1385			
175N	no micro-pump-effect							
	1580	1570	1585	1575	1580			
200N	no micro-pump-effect							
	1740	1760	1775	1750	1760			

1





2- Examination Report PB-Nr. 242-09 (2009) Examination of a Subtractive Surface K3Pro Implant Osteoactive Surface 4.5 x 11mm, Dr. –Ing. G. Horn-Samodelkin, Examination laboratory accredited by the DAP, German Accreditation System for Testing according to DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025:2008. - To view the comprehensive study, visit www.argondentalusa.com.

In 2008, The University of Cologne, Germany and the European Association of Dental Implantology performed a study on 23 different titanium implant systems, testing the implant surfaces of several manufacturers to ensure the manufacturing quality of a product. The tested implants showed isolated and/or extensive deposits. Depending on the manufacturing process, accumulations of organic material (carbon) or inorganic material like aluminum, silicon, phosphor, sulfur, chlorine, potassium and calcium were found.

In 2011-2012, they repeated the same process testing 57 dental implants, some of which had been tested in 2008. Although some manufacturers have made substantial improvements since the first survey in 2008, the 2011-2012 study singled out a few implants with larger areas of surface blasting residue and selective organic impurities.


In 2014-2015, the Argon K3Pro[¬] Rapid and Sure implants were tested. Each tested implant was taken out of the implant package with a sterile forceps and was tested using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX). The SEM evaluates the topical implant surface by generating images in compositional and topographical mode to a magnification of 20.000x. The Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX) analyzes the X-rays generated by the electrons of the electron beam while they are interacting with the sample, producing specific X-ray peaks.

Argon - Konus K3Pro[™] RAPID (R-line) Implant

3D Roughness Reconstruction

3D Roughness Reconstruction


Argon - Konus K3Pro[™] SURE (S-line) Implant



RESULTS


The Konus K3Pro[®] "Rapid" and "Sure" Implants show no significant traces of inorganic or organic residues.

K3PRO[®] RAPID EDX Area Analysis




Qualitative Elemental Analysis

Quantitative Elemental Analysis




K3PRO° SURE

EDX Area Analysis Quantitative Elemental Analysis



Field of View

Qualitative Elemental Analysis



3- Micro-movements and micro-pump-effect of implant-abutment-connection Test Report for Konus K3Pro Dental Implants (2010), Prof. Dr. H.-Ch. Lauer, Department of Prosthetic Dentistry at J.W. Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main - To view the comprehensive study, visit www.argondentalusa.com.

Case Example - Maintain Bone with K3Pro⁻⁻⁻



2011 - Implant X-ray at placement



2015 - X-ray showing bone integration after 5 years



2010 - Before implant is placed



2011 - Implant is placed



2011 - Results at placement



2015 - Cosmetic results after 5 years

Case Example - Maintain Bone with K3Pro⁻⁻⁻


Dr. Frank Michael Meir



2013 - Implant placement



2015 - Implant X-ray 2 years post placement



2013 - 2 months after placement



2013 - Implant X-ray at placement



2017 - X-ray showing no bone loss after 4 years



2014 - Cosmetic results


Case Example - Maintain Bone with K3Pro⁻⁻⁻




2011 - X-ray before placement



2011 - Implant X-ray at placement



2018 - X-ray showing bone integration after 7 years



2018 - X-ray showing bone integration after 7 years



2011 - Before implant is placed




2018 - Cosmetic result after 7 years

Case Example - Maintain Bone with K3Pro™



2012 - Implant X-ray at placement



2017 - X-ray showing bone integration after 5 years



2012 - Before implant is placed



2017 - Cosmetic result after 5 years

Case Example - Maintain Bone with K3Pro™




2013 - X-ray before placement



2013 - Implant X-ray at placement



2015 - X-ray showing bone integration after 2 years



2018 - X-ray showing bone integration after 5 years




2013 - Before implant is placed



2018 - Cosmetic result after 5 years


Case Example - Maintain Bone with K3Pro⁻⁻



2010 - Implant X-ray at placement



2011 - Implant X-ray 9 months after placement



2018 - Implant X-ray 8 years after placement



2010 - Before implant is placed



2018 - Cosmetic result after 8 years

Case Example - Maintain Bone with K3Pro[™]

Dr. Bogdan Baldea



Implant X-ray at placement



Implant X-ray 9 months after placement



Implant X-ray 1 year after placement



Implant X-ray 2 years after placement



Before implant is placed

Initial Placement



9 months after placement



Cosmetic result after 2 years

Case Example - Maintain Bone with K3Pro™





2014 - Implant X-ray 2014 before placement at j

2014 - Implant X-ray at placement



2015 - Implant X-ray 1 year after placement



2017 - Implant X-ray 3 years after placement



2014 - Before implant is placed



2016 - Cosmetic result after 2 years



1000 Corporate Drive • PO Box 770 Marshfield, WI 54449 1-844-484-4998

www.argondentalusa.com www.facebook.com/argondentalusa